

1
2
3
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
5 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
6

7 OREN FRANKS, *et al.*,
8 Plaintiffs,
9 v.
10 LENS.COM, INC.,
11 Defendant.

Case No. 2:24-cv-00724-JAD-NJK
2:24-cv-01149-JAD-NJK
2:24-cv-02160-JAD-NJK
2:24-cv-02203-JAD-NJK

Order

[Docket No. 47]

12 Pending before the Court is the parties' proposed discovery plan. Docket No. 47.

13 The parties disagree as to whether discovery should be conducted in phases but provide no
14 explanation as to the parties' respective positions. *See* Docket No. 47 at 5. Further, Plaintiffs
15 submit that the discovery in the Florida action has no connection with the instant action. *Id.*
16 However, Defendants routinely reference the discovery in the Florida action, yet provide no basis
17 for why it is relevant to these matters. *Id.* at 5-6.

18 Accordingly, the parties' proposed discovery plan is **DENIED** without prejudice. Docket
19 No. 47. A renewed discovery plan must be filed by March 21, 2025, and must provide meaningful
20 discussion as to each differing proposal.

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 Dated: March 17, 2025

23
24 
Nancy J. Koppe
United States Magistrate Judge
25
26
27
28